

<http://internationalviewpoint.org/spip.php?article5007>



Britain

# Labour's giant step forward on health

- IV Online magazine - 2017 - IV509 - June 2017 -

Publication date: Saturday 3 June 2017

---

Copyright © International Viewpoint - online socialist magazine - All rights reserved

---

**In the run up to the British General election on June 8, the Labour Party under the leadership of Jeremy Corbyn, has published the most radical manifesto of any major party in many decades. In doing so they have succeeded in generating a real political debate; arguing that austerity is a political choice not a necessity. Issues as defence of the NHS and education, trade union rights and worker's living standards have come to the fore, eclipsing the 'presidential' type campaign that the Tories hoped to run.**

The huge demonstration #ourNHS demonstration through London on March 4, called by Health Campaigns Together (HCT) [\[1\]](#) , was estimated by police and the media at upwards of 200,000 strong.

It was backed by over a dozen national trade unions, but also brought large contingents of people from rural areas and other parts of the country where the Tories have just strengthened their political grip in local elections.

Now HCT, facing the new challenge of responding to the sudden election, is backing an ambitious @NHS\_Roadshow that will tour the country, mobilising 250 or more junior doctors and health professionals determined to help bring an end to the Tory onslaughts on the NHS, and persuade people in all parts of the country to #voteNHS, backing only candidates committed to fighting for local health services and opposing cuts and privatisation.

HCT is campaigning on facts, not ideology, collecting, organising and publishing the hard truth of what governments have done to our NHS since 2010 - most notably the 7 years so far of near-frozen real terms funding intended to follow Labour's decade of growth with a decade of unprecedented decline in health spending as a share of GDP.

Current plans would drop UK health spending back to bottom of the league of comparable countries.

The cuts in real terms spending (while the population and cost pressures increase) have already led to cuts of 9,000 acute hospital beds (treating emergencies and waiting list patients) and 20% of mental health beds since 2010.

The shortage of beds plus brutal outright cuts in social care spending were key factors in last winter's meltdown - with thousands of patients left waiting hours on trolleys for emergency admissions, a collapse against performance targets for waiting times in A&E, cancer treatment, and patients waiting more than 18 weeks for operations.

Even the loyal Tory Daily Telegraph has published leaked official NHS figures warning of a huge increase in the numbers waiting for treatment - from the current record 3.7 million to 5.5 million by 2019 - with numbers waiting over 18 weeks expected to double to 800,000, unless extra resources are released.

The Royal College of Surgeons says the growing waiting times are "unacceptably high" and warns that major brain and heart operations are among those likely to be affected by this worsening performance.

But it's set to get worse still. At the end of last year mainstream media belatedly noticed that many of the 44 "Sustainability and Transformation Plans" (STPs) signed off by NHS England seek to downgrade hospitals or A&E units, cut bed numbers and reduce numbers of patients treated - as each of them seeks drastic "savings" to bridge an expected £22 billion gap between resources and needs by 2020. In many rural areas the planned

"reconfiguration" of hospital care could mean journeys of up to 50 miles to access "local" hospital care.

All of these hard facts are potential dynamite for Tory Prime Minister Theresa May, since many of the people most seriously affected by the NHS and social care cutbacks will inevitably be Tory voters.

But there's more: the hidden Tory agenda since 2010 has been kept out of the public eye because it is divisive among their own ranks:

- most Tories value and use their local NHS services, and are angered by suggestions that local A&E units should close. Nonetheless if they vote for Theresa May's "strong and stable" government, it will be taken as a green light to press ahead with even more controversial cuts and closures.
- most Tories are against privatisation of the NHS. But they had as little say as the rest of the country over Andrew Lansley's Health and Social Care Act, which was not in the manifesto, and only unveiled after David Cameron fought the election pledging no more top-down reorganisation of the NHS.

The Act has brought even more fragmentation, bureaucracy and chaos to the NHS, and was designed to open up more possibilities of handing contracts to private sector providers.

Indeed even during the pre-election "purdah" period management at the Royal Liverpool Hospital have been emailing consultants to announce plans to increase the income from private patients, and inviting consultants to help this along: this will not be a unique example.

Behind the scenes, while ministers proclaim their love of the NHS, the private sector has been growing on the strength of public funds. The proportion of NHS spending on clinical care from private providers has risen steadily since 2010, while the finances of the NHS providers have been undermined.

Across England on average £1 in every £8 of local commissioners' budgets is now spent on care provided by non-NHS organisations, and almost half of the additional £2bn of funding for the NHS commissioning budget in England in 2015/16 was spent on care provided by non-NHS providers.

Private hospital chains have been the big winners from this, coining in increased profits from treating NHS patients for whom there is no longer enough bed space as hospitals are reduced to an emergencies and chronic care service, allowing the private sector to cherry-pick the potentially profitable contracts for the least complex elective care.

As delays in treatment increase and the waiting list lengthens, more impatient patients can also be expected to dig into savings or borrow money to pay for quicker private treatment, while cash-saving plans in many CCGs now look to restricting the range of treatments that are available as elective care - forcing more people to go private or go without.

Servile support from pliable right wing news media have helped Cameron and May to keep their real NHS policy so well concealed behind a bland rhetoric and cynical assurances.

Labour from 2010 has to take much of the blame - for lacklustre, belated and limited opposition to the spending freeze and even the Health & Social Care Act under the coalition from 2010, partly because of a refusal of the Blairite wing of the party to allow a critical view of the party's own record in government.

It was after all Blair's government which chose to waste billions of the extra funding it pumped in to the NHS on

deepening what Blair himself had called the "costly and wasteful" purchaser-provider split of Margaret Thatcher's "internal market", and making it a real market by opening up contracts for clinical services to private providers for the first time.

It was Blair's government which signed the first extravagant and increasingly unaffordable PFI contracts to finance new hospitals through annually increasing payments over 30 years, pumping a growing profit stream into the coffers of banks and finance houses, many of them now offshore.

The grim legacy of these historic blunders is now visible in many areas: and even after Jeremy Corbyn took over from Miliband in the autumn of 2015 it has taken too long for Labour to get to grips with these issues and develop a more credible policy.

But at last there are signs that it is being done. The manifesto promises to axe the Health and Social Care legislation and end privatisation of the NHS. Labour will reinstate the Secretary of State's responsibilities and invest in the NHS to hit A&E targets and deliver long overdue improvements to underfunded, understaffed mental health services.

Labour is also now pledged to remove the cap limiting NHS pay increases, reinstate NHS bursaries, guarantee the security of EU and other staff working in the NHS after Brexit, and to bring in a new law to enforce safe staffing levels.

And Labour has promised an extra £7.4bn per year investment in the NHS, including 10 billion capital funding.

There's plenty of room still for improvement but at last the Labour leadership has established a clear alternative and set themselves out as the only party committed to bold moves to repair and improve our damaged NHS.

Theresa May has made clear she does not want the NHS to be central to debates running up to the election: but her whole stance since becoming Prime Minister makes clear that a vote for "strong and stable" leadership would be taken as endorsement for five more years of cuts, closures and privatisation - regardless of local views.

The polls look grim [\[2\]](#): but if Labour can keep on the pressure, this still could be an election where people wake up to the need to vote for the NHS.

[Health Campaigns Together](#) has published a fact-filled election special broadsheet that backs no party, but makes clear the view that voters should only support candidates committed to fighting on after June 8 to defend local access to services, against cuts and privatisation.

Don't take any chances with your health: #voteNHS. This might be the last chance to stop the rot before our NHS declines into persistent crisis and chaos, with the private sector waiting in the wings.

[Socialist Resistance](#)

PS:

*If you like this article or have found it useful, please consider donating towards the work of International Viewpoint. Simply follow this link: [Donate](#) then enter an amount of your choice. One-off donations are very welcome. But regular donations by standing order are also vital to our continuing functioning.*

---

## Labour's giant step forward on health

---

[1] HCT is the national campaign to defend the NHS in Britain, bringing together dozens of local campaigns to defend hospitals and other local health services with the trade unions representing people working in the sector. <http://www.healthcampaignstogether.com/>

[2] This article was originally published on May 17 - since then the polls have dramatically narrowed. When the election was called the Tories had a 22 point lead, the latest poll on June 1 showed it down to 3 points.