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The last decade has seen historic political upheavals across the Middle East and North Africa: a tsunami of popular uprisings that have brought down several dictators and led to momentous transformations in political consciousness, if not always to democratic outcomes. But the last decade has also seen a concomitant counter-revolutionary roll-back across the region: authoritarian regimes, entrenched elites, ruling classes, deep states, and reactionary forces have marshalled considerable resources to torpedo these movements from below. [1]

Saudi Arabia's role as a counter-revolutionary force in the Middle East is widely understood and thoroughly documented. Historian Rosie Bsheer calls the Saudi kingdom "a counter-revolutionary state par excellence," indeed one that was "consolidated as such." [2] The Saudi monarchy has gone into counter-revolutionary overdrive since the onset of the Arab uprisings, scrambling to thwart popular movements and keep the region's dictators in power from Egypt and Bahrain to Yemen and Sudan (and beyond). [3]

What is less understood is the counter-revolutionary role that Iran plays in the region's politics. This is poorly understood and under-examined because it flies in the face of the dominant narrative, that of Iran as a "revolutionary" state in the vanguard of a regional "Axis of Resistance" to US imperialism and its allies. This view is shared across the ideological spectrum, from neoconservatives and US foreign policy hawks (for whom Iran's "revolutionary" policies are dangerous and must be contained/confronted) to large swaths of the "anti-imperialist" Left and antiwar movement (for whom Iran is merely defending itself and "resisting" US/Israeli/Saudi belligerence). While the two camps disagree about whether Iran's "revolutionary" agenda is a good thing, they agree that it is "revolutionary." This is also the official line of the Islamic Republic itself, whose self-image as a "revolutionary" state in the vanguard of an "Axis of Resistance" is central to its identity and legitimacy. [4]

Here we have a classic instance of what the sociologist Ulrich Beck calls a zombie category. Zombie categories, he argues, "are dead but somehow go on living, making us blind to the realities" of the world. [5]

The view of Iran as a "revolutionary" state has been dead for quite some time yet somehow stumbles along and blinds us to what is actually happening on the ground in the Middle East. A brief look at the role Iran has played over the last decade in three countries Lebanon, Iraq, and Syria reveals a very different picture: not one of a revolutionary but rather of a counter-revolutionary force.

**Lebanon's "October Revolution"**

In October 2019, hundreds of thousands of people took to the streets across Lebanon in "the most comprehensive anti-government protests the country has seen at least since the civil war ended in 1990, in terms of numbers, geographic spread, and diversity of sects and class." [6]

While the proximate causes were the government's inept response to the wildfires that engulfed the country and its announcement of a tax on WhatsApp voice calls, the uprising which came to be known as Lebanon's "October Revolution" had deeper roots and gave expression to grievances that had been simmering for several years. The 2015 "You Stink" protests against the disfunction and mismanagement that led to a crisis of uncollected garbage, for instance, in many ways prefigured the 2019 uprising. [7] But the 2019 demonstrations were more far-reaching: people throughout the country "not only in Beirut but in all major coastal cities and smaller inland ones" took to the
streets "in a show of solidarity never before seen." [8] They were "rebelling against the socioeconomic violence...produced by the sectarian order" with its "rampant clientelism and corruption," notes Lebanese political scientist Bassel Salloukh. [9] Along similar lines, Lebanese sociologist Rima Majed characterizes it as a revolt against "sectarian neoliberalism." [10]

There were three distinct "streams" within the uprising, according to Majed. What she calls the "radical" stream "has been thinking intersectionally, centering class inequality, gender inequality...questions of citizenship, race, and refugees. It is mobilizing around all these issues and making links between them, and demanding an overhaul of the neoliberal economic system as well as the sectarian political system." [11] Majed and Lana Salman note the centrality of a feminist politics in the uprising, one that aims to "dismantle interlinked manifestations of patriarchy, capitalism and sectarianism." [12] Majed characterizes the second stream as essentially "liberal" and the third stream as "more ad hoc," lacking a "clear political project or vision." A spectrum of orientations was thus present in the Lebanese uprising, with a decidedly progressive center of gravity.

Close to a million people a critical mass in a country of less than 7 million participated in the demonstrations. An embodiment of what Yasser Munif calls "the politics of life" [13] or what Asef Bayat calls "the politics of fun," [14] Jade Saab and Joey Ayoub describe the "carnival atmosphere" in Lebanon's public squares, "with music, dancing, DJs and fireworks at night" and a vibrant intellectual scene with "daily public lectures on the economy, the constitution, history," and other themes. [15]

"In these 'classes' you could see attempts at the creation of a new Lebanese identity," Saab and Ayoub observe one that rejects and transcends the "myths of sectarianism." In the same vein, Salloukh sees Lebanon's "October Revolution" as a "truly foundational moment" in which "people are redefining their subjectivity." [16]

The uprising, he notes, "has allowed for a reimagining of the Lebanese nation beyond top-down imposed narrow sectarian affiliations." He detects

a shift in how people define themselves as agents: not as sectarian subjects in a political order cut along sectarian and religious lines, but rather as anti- and trans-sectarian citizens operating in a polyphonic and democratic civic space. [17].

Counter-revolution

Where does Hezbollah, Iran's key ally in Lebanon, figure in this picture?

The defining slogan of Lebanon's uprising "all of them means all of them" (kellon yani kellon) called out the country's entire ruling class, which includes Hezbollah. One pointed variation on the slogan was "All of them means all of them, and Nasrallah is one of them." [18] Protesters chanting "the people want the downfall of the regime" (the defining slogan of the 2011 Arab uprisings) gathered outside the office of Mohammed Raad, the head of Hezbollah's parliamentary bloc.

Hezbollah returned the favor: after some initial hedging, the "Party of God" unleashed a mob on Beirut's main protest site, beating unarmed activists at a peaceful sit-in and burning down their tents. [19]
In the southern Lebanese town of Nabatiyeh, according to Human Rights Watch, several hundred Hezbollah supporters "attacked peaceful protesters, with sticks and sharp metal objects, including beating women, children, and older people indiscriminately." [20]

In response to that assault, protesters declared a "day of solidarity with Nabatiyeh" on October 24. [21] "Those who oppress Beirut don't liberate Palestine," read graffiti scrawled in downtown Beirut in a poignant critique of Hezbollah. [22]

Hezbollah's attacks on the demonstrators were not only physical but rhetorical, framing the popular revolt as part of a foreign plot against Hezbollah and its regional allies in the "Axis of Resistance" accusations that were "met with ridicule, especially since it was being spearheaded by a party that openly flouts the fact that it is almost exclusively funded by Iran." [23] Activists fired back with satire, "distributing free sandwiches with 'funded by [name of foreign government]' written on them." [24]

Nasrallah is "coming up with conspiracy theories just to get people to stop revolting," a university student active in the protests, Ahmad Bshennaty, told Al Jazeera. [25] Tehran amplified this line, blaming the protests on "America and Western intelligence services" and warning of the "insecurity and turmoil" they portended [26].

Supreme leader Ali Khamenei invoked a highly revealing domestic comparison: in an apparent reference to the mass protests that rocked the Islamic Republic in late 2017 and early 2018, he said that foreign powers "had similar plans" for Iran, but "the armed forces were ready and that plot was neutralized." [27]

This "campaign against the protests," Salloukh notes, "backfired among the public, even inside the Shi'a community, for it portrayed Hezbollah as the main defender of the sectarian system with all its corruption and distortions." [28] Hezbollah is "now viewed by many demonstrators as part of the corrupt and morally bankrupt political establishment that must be replaced," as Joseph Haboush observes. [29] Lebanese activist and podcaster Nizar Hassan characterizes Hezbollah's role in the uprising as that of a "counter revolutionary guard." [30]

Hezbollah's aim was to "end the protest movement by proposing solutions that maintain the Lebanese sectarian and neoliberal framework, while continuing to use intimidation and sometimes violence against protesters," writes Joseph Daher, author of a book on Hezbollah. [31] Although Hezbollah has been firmly entrenched in Lebanon's power structure for over a decade Lebanese author Elias Khoury calls it "the system's staunchest supporter" [32] the 2019 upheaval brought what Daher calls "the contradiction between Hezbollah's proclaimed support for the 'oppressed' and its orientation favourable to Lebanese neoliberalism and the country's elite class" into ever sharper focus.

The Lebanese writer and podcaster Joey Ayoub captures the Orwellian upside-down-ness of this ideological sleight of hand in his formulation "Hezbollah's Resistance" against resistance." [33] Hezbollah, he shows, tries to have it both ways: on the one hand, defending the status quo and maintaining Lebanon's "sectarian-capitalist structures," while at the same time banking on its membership in the so-called "Axis of Resistance." That is, posturing as a force for "resistance" a zombie category amid Lebanon's current political landscape while attacking people engaged in actual resistance to the ruling system and undermining progressive social movements.

Iraq's Tishreen uprising

The parallels between the Iraqi and Lebanese revolts are manifold, starting with their timing: mass protests engulfed both countries starting in October 2019. Iraqi and Lebanese protesters were conscious of the connections between
The Other Regional Counter-Revolution: Iran’s Role in the Shifting Political Landscape of the Middle East

...their struggles: "in the different protest squares people are shouting: 'One revolution, from Baghdad to Beirut,'" notes Sami Adnan, an activist in Baghdad with the group Workers Against Sectarianism. [34]. It's also important to see the two upheavals in their wider regional context, as part of the "second wave" of Arab uprisings that also included momentous popular movements in Algeria and Sudan or, as some argue, the uprisings that have been ongoing across the Middle East and North Africa since December 2010. The emergence of the 2019 wave of the uprisings in Algeria, Sudan, Lebanon and Iraq showed that the Arab Spring did not die," Asef Bayat observes. "It continued in other countries in the region with somewhat similar repertoires of collective action." [35]

Moreover, all four of these cases were part of a global wave of simultaneous mass protests in late 2019 in Chile, Hong Kong, India, France, Ecuador, Guinea, Haiti, Colombia, Iran, Argentina, and beyond which could be "the largest wave of nonviolent mass movements in world history." [36]

The protests that erupted in Iraq in October 2019 were arguably the "biggest grassroots socio-political mobilization" in the country's history [37] At root, that mobilization was "about the poor, the disempowered and the marginalized demanding a new system," notes the Iraqi sociologist Zahra Ali. [38] The Tishreen (October) uprising, as it came to be known, quickly spread to "cities and towns across central and southern Iraq" [39] and eventually "engulfed virtually the whole country (though they were most concentrated in Baghdad and the Shia-dominated southern governorates)." [40].

By no means did this upheaval come out of nowhere: Iraq has seen a steady stream of protests in recent years in 2009, 2011, 2015, and 2018. [41] But the 2019 protests represented "the most serious challenge yet to the post-2003 political order," the Iraq scholar Fanar Haddad observes. [42] The 2019 rebellion was "backboned" by those previous protests it was the "culmination of a decade of mobilization" [43] "but its identity was more radical and firmer," notes Zeidon Alkinani. [44] "People were no longer asking for better job opportunities, electricity or water. They were calling to overhaul the system."

Alkinani underscores that the movement "classified itself as a 'revolution' in terms of discourse, demands, and objectives." "[E]ven if the current movement fails to achieve a political revolution," Haddad argues, "and even if it is not a revolution, it is undeniably a revolutionary movement that has already achieved a cultural revolution." [45]

What were the protesters calling for? First and foremost, "eradicating the Muhasasa system," Alkinani notes, referring to the ethno-sectarian scheme in which political representation and power are based on sub-national identities (Shi'a/Sunni/Kurd). [46] This system established under the US occupation following the 2003 invasion and sustained by both American and Iranian influence in the years since became "the target of popular rage," Haddad notes: "rage at the systemic failures, dysfunction and criminality that have marked the post-2003 order." That order has created a massive chasm, Haddad observes, between "the ruling few and those with connections to them" on one hand and "the vast majority of impoverished and excluded Iraqis" on the other [47]. In 2019, those impoverished and excluded Iraqis rose up "demanding a whole new system," Zahra Ali and Safaa Khalaf write. [48].

As in Lebanon, Asef Bayat's "politics of fun" was on full display in Iraq, especially in the epicenter of the 2019 protest movement, Baghdad's Tahrir Square, home to an "explosion of cultural, political and intellectual expression and creativity," writes Haddad.

Amidst a forest of tents blaring everything from hip hop to poetry to Shia mourning recitations, reminders of why Iraqis have taken to the streets, and at what cost, abound in the form of pictures, murals, memorials, prayer meetings and other forms of commemoration dedicated to the young men who lost their lives over the course of the past two months of mass mobilisation. [49]
And like Lebanon, the uprising involved an intellectual component. "Tahrir Square in Baghdad today is a revolutionary zone," says the aforementioned Baghdad-based activist Sami Adnan.

There are places for reading books in one tent, and a medical tent. Some tents represent specific regions of Iraq, or retired people, or professional groups, like unions of engineers, etc. ... [People] discuss day-to-day things about what to do, but also questions of leadership, writing a new constitution, or putting on seminars about different political topics. [50]

"A new political awareness and culture have been formed" through the protests, Haddad writes. [51] Indeed, Alkinani argues, the uprising has "opened a new chapter in Iraq's modern history." [52] The Tishreen uprising has "opened the door to a new Iraqi identity and national consciousness," proclaim the editors of Tuk Tuk, a newspaper that grew out of the revolt, on the front page of its second issue. [53]

**Counter-revolution**

As Berman, Clarke, and Majed note:

A movement demanding wholesale political change represented a real threat to the system of cronyism and rapaciousness that has enriched Iraq's politicians over the last two decades, and these elites quickly mobilized an array of state and non-state security agents in an attempt to quash this challenge. [54]

Mohammad al Basri, a figure affiliated with Iraq's paramilitary Popular Mobilization Units, expressed this mindset with rare bluntness: "Do they really think that we would hand over a state, an economy, one that we have built over 15 years? That they can just casually come and take it? Impossible! This is a state that was built with blood." [55]

Those forces wasted no time in launching a "war against unarmed protesters" that left several hundred dead and several thousand wounded. [56] Amnesty International documented the use of "military-grade tear gas grenades, live ammunition and deadly sniper attacks" [57] in what the organization calls a "lethal campaign of repression against protesters" and an "ongoing wave of intimidation, arrests and torture" of Iraqi activists. [58] Whereas this bloodbath of repression against crowds of protesters has been perpetrated in broad daylight, an ominous chain of assassinations targeting activists connected to the uprising has been happening in the shadows, creating what protesters call "an atmosphere of terror." [59]

The uprising has been "profoundly shaped by the Iraqi security forces' violent repression," Ali and Khalaf observe. [60] Indeed, Haddad notes, the demands of the protesters were "only hardened by the violence that has been unleashed on them." [61] "The more the political establishment cracked down on protests, the more outrage it triggered, resulting in fresh rounds of mobilization," write Chantal Berman, Killian Clarke, and Rima Majed. [62]

Iran is deeply implicated in this counter-revolutionary repression both indirectly, as the chief political ally and patron of the Iraqi government over the last 15 years, and directly, through the web of militias and paramilitary forces coordinated by the Quds Force of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC), which have opened fire on protesters. [63] Those militias "committed bloody massacres against peaceful protesters" in multiple Iraqi cities, including Baghdad, Nasriyah, Basra and Najaf." [64] "The authorities, paramilitary forces and militias connected to the political elite, backed by Iran, are those primarily responsible for killing, beating, threatening and intimidating
demonstrators, civil society activists and journalists," Zahra Ali notes [65].

Tehran also intervened politically, maneuvering to keep Iraqi Prime Minister Abdel Abdul Mahdi in power in the face of demands from protesters that he step down . [66] (Mahdi eventually did resign, in late November 2019 a major victory for the protest movement that Tehran endeavored to circumvent.)

Iraqi protesters weren't just rebelling against Iran's local allies, but against Iran itself. Protesters in Baghdad's Tahrir Square smashed banners of Khamenei with their shoes. [67] Others put up a white banner with red Xs drawn through photographs of Khamenei and Quds Force commander Qasem Soleimani, the architect of Iran's regional policy. [68] "Images of Ayatollah Khomeini were removed from cities like Najaf, and pro-Iran political parties with prominent militias that were involved in the violence against the protesters had their branch offices attacked and burned," Alkinani notes. [69] Most spectacularly, protesters set fire to the Iranian consulate in Karbala and Najaf amid chants of "Iran out of Iraq". [70]

Among the demands of the protesters, Adnan notes, are "an end to the rule of militias, and an end to corruption and foreign rule especially Iranian rule, but also US rule." [71] "Most Iraqis are increasingly outraged at the way their national sovereignty is constantly infringed" by both Washington and Tehran, notes Middle East scholar Gilbert Achcar. [72] Vividly illustrating this point, Haddad observes that in Baghdad's Tahrir Square, while gazing at a mural proclaiming 'America go out of Iraq' complete with a depiction of an American dagger bleeding Iraq dry, a looped recording of a voice chanting 'Iraq is under Iranian occupation' can be heard.. [73]

The Associated Press reported that the very day after the protests began in Iraq in October 2019, Soleimani flew to Baghdad in a helicopter for an emergency meeting with high-ranking Iraqi security officials. According to two of those officials, Soleimani said to them in that meeting: "We in Iran know how to deal with protests. This happened in Iran and we got it under control." [74]

Despite the many parallels between the Lebanese and Iraqi uprisings, there are two key differences: (1) the protests in Iraq were met with a staggering level of violence, in contrast to the largely nonviolent Lebanese case; (2) Iran played a much more direct role in the Iraqi counter-revolution than it did in Lebanon, where its key ally, Hezbollah, represents and reflects the stance of the Islamic Republic. But what both cases illuminate is this: in the face of popular uprisings expressing emancipatory demands, Iran sides not with the protesters but with the ruling establishments they're protesting against. And the story is far from over: while the COVID pandemic dampened protests, the issues that gave rise to the uprisings remain unresolved, and activism in both countries continues. [75]

---

**Syria's forgotten revolution**

Moving in reverse chronological order, I'll now briefly examine Iran's response to the Syrian uprising in 2011. I will not examine Iran's role in the Syrian conflict writ large; rather, my focus will be on Iran's response to the initial, nonviolent phase of the Syrian uprising, from the spring to the autumn of 2011.

With the colossal violence that has engulfed Syria over the last decade, it is often forgotten that it all began with peaceful protests expressing democratic demands. In March 2011, mass demonstrations broke out across the country in provincial villages and urban areas alike. Syrians representing a cross-section of the country (Sunnis, Alawites, Christians, Druze, Ismailis, Kurds, and others) took to the streets chanting slogans that echoed those of their counterparts in Tunisia, Egypt, and elsewhere across the region, and expressing the same aspirations for freedom, dignity, social justice, democratic rights, and an end to dictatorship. Because this history has been largely obscured by the calamity that befell Syria, buried under the rubble of the tragedy in some cases it has been actively
erased in the war of narratives over the conflict. It's critically important to take stock of the emancipatory, visionary, pluralistic, and radically democratic goals of the Syrian uprising. This is not the place for an in-depth discussion of that story, but I want to point to the rich literature on the subject, and to the vital archival and storytelling work of websites like SyriaUntold, Creative Memory of the Syrian Revolution, and 100 Faces of the Syrian Revolution.

Iran's official narrative is that its role in Syria is all about fighting terrorism specifically Al Qaeda and ISIS. But this is a classic case of reading history backwards. In fact, Iran rushed to the defense of the Assad regime as soon as the uprising began when there was no Al Qaeda or ISIS presence whatsoever (the only jihadists were the ones the regime intentionally let out of its prisons as part of its jihadization strategy). "From the very moment Assad faced popular protests, the Quds Force and Tehran were ready to do all they could to save the rule of the Baath Party," notes Arash Azizi. Indeed, the Islamic Republic's emissaries "were pushing on Assad to suppress the uprising mercilessly."

And that is precisely what the regime did. As I wrote in 2016:

The Assad regime's response to those peaceful demonstrations across Syria in 2011 can be summed up in two words: live ammunition. The regime's security forces fired on crowds of unarmed protestors for upward of six months. The Islamic Republic defended its staunch ally in Damascus, as the latter unleashed a bloodbath of repression against a popular and nonviolent democratic uprising.

In his book The Battle for Syria, Christopher Phillips reports that Ali Akbar Salehi, Iran's foreign minister at the time, "made numerous trips to Damascus to reassure Assad." Tehran, Phillips notes, provided riot equipment to the Assad regime and dispatched hundreds of Quds Force operatives "to offer security advice." [Jubin Goodarzi, author of Syria and Iran: Diplomatic Alliance and Power Politics in the Middle East, adds:

Iran provided technical support and expertise to neutralise the opposition; advice and equipment to the Syrian security forces to help them contain and disperse protests; and guidance and technical assistance on how to monitor and curtail the use of the internet and mobile phone networks by the opposition. Iran's security forces had learned valuable lessons in these areas during the violent crackdown against the opponents of President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad that followed the disputed presidential elections of June 2009.

Iran expert Reza Marashi offers a similar analysis. The Islamic Republic's "first reaction" to the demonstrations in Syria "was to open its own playbook and show Assad pages from the post-election protests in 2009," he observes. "Decision-makers appear to have hoped that Assad would use enough brute force arrests, beatings, and a limited amount of killings to spread fear and quickly re-establish control."

This "security advice" was augmented by a narrative strategy: Iran helped flip the script and present the Syrian protests not as part of the wave of Arab uprisings which it decidedly was but as a foreign-inspired terrorist plot. This rhetorical framing was awkward for the Islamic Republic, which had voiced support for other Arab uprisings those in Tunisia, Egypt, Bahrain, and Libya. This put Tehran in a bind, praising the people of the region for rising up against the dictators that oppressed them but siding with the dictator in Syria. Amin Saikal characterizes this Syrian exception as "an intervention that ran counter to Tehran's declared rhetoric of supporting the downtrodden masses."

Ewan Stein notes that Iran (and its ally Hezbollah) committed to "denying the democratic aspects of the [Syrian] revolution and instead casting it as a terrorist insurgency." "In so doing," Stein observes, "they appropriated the discourse of the [Global War on Terror]." This framing allowed Iran to present itself as being on the "right" side of
The Syrian conflict: fighting extremists. The militarization and sectarianization of the conflict [87] processes of which the Assad regime was the principal (though not exclusive) driver were a godsend for Iran's war on terror framing: a self-fulfilling prophesy that was very much by design.

Iran has projected this framing backwards, as if fighting terrorists had always been its mission in Syria. But this sleight of hand leaves a fundamental question unanswered: why was the Islamic Republic on the side of the Assad regime when it was shooting, detaining, torturing, and disappearing peaceful demonstrators [88] whose demands and vision were decidedly democratic and emancipatory, well before any jihadist groups were present? And let's be clear: the Islamic Republic intensified its support for the Assad regime in 2011 but its stalwart support for the dynastic dictatorship in Damascus goes back several decades and while the Assad regime exponentially heightened its level of repression in 2011, violence has been at the very core of its rule throughout. [89]

The answer is that Iran's rhetorical posturing aside, its response to the popular uprising in Syria revealed its increasingly counter-revolutionary role in the region a development that would come into sharper focus with the Lebanese and Iraqi uprisings of 2019-2020.

Paradigms Lost: Time to Dispense with Zombie Categories

Azizi characterizes the contours of Iran's post-2011 regional policy as follows:

Iran would orient itself to the Arab Spring not in the spirit of revolutionary fraternity but with cold calculation worthy of a scheming monarch. Despite the words that came out of his mouth, Khamenei was now more of a sultan than a revolutionary. [90]

The Islamic Republic is out "not to foster revolutions against dictators," Azizi notes, but "to preserve its own regime and spread its influence by any means necessary." [91] Along these lines, Borzou Daragahi observes, "[t]he 'revolutionary' slogans of Iran's 'resistance' are empty rhetoric that merely back whatever policies benefit the corrupt ruling elite in Tehran." [92] In the same vein, Stein argues that the so-called Axis of Resistance, "ostensibly dedicated to furthering the emancipatory aspirations of the Arab and Muslim masses," has in reality "played a critical role in containing regional revolution and preventing the emergence of a more democratically oriented regional order." [93]

Indeed, for all the talk of Iran's "disruptive" role in the region, what the cases of Syria, Iraq, and Lebanon reveal is instead an Islamic Republic hell-bent on keeping entrenched political establishments and ruling classes in power while helping them quell popular movements for social justice, democratic rights, and human dignity. In an insightful essay, Rami Khouri notes this growing disconnect between ideological rhetoric and political reality, in which "'resistance' troops try to beat down 'revolutionary' protests" across the Middle East. [94]

This development has yet to be properly theorized, but it has not gone unnoticed. Saikal, for example, notes "Iran's growing shift towards being a middle power supportive of the status quo." [95] The Islamic Republic "sounds more and more like those same sclerotic rulers it once railed against," Daragahi observes "suspicious of any new development that threatens the status quo it dominates." [96] Even Edward Wastnidge, in a highly sympathetic account of the Islamic Republic's regional policies, acknowledges that Tehran "is trying to maintain the post-2003 status quo, which has seen its influence in the region grow." [97]

We need to revise our lexicon to reflect that post-2003 status quo. We need to retire zombie categories like that of...
Iran as a "revolutionary" force in the Middle East, and the fiction of the "Axis of Resistance" (a term that should always appear in quotes or be qualified by "so-called," if not dropped altogether) that function as distorting mirrors and mystifications.

The idea that Iran is a revolutionary power while Saudi Arabia is a counter-revolutionary power in the region is a stale binary. Both the Islamic Republic and the Saudi Kingdom play counter-revolutionary roles in the Middle East. They are competing counter-revolutionary powers, each pursuing its counter-revolutionary agenda in its respective sphere of influence within the region.

Christopher Davidson speaks to this dynamic when he observes that "Saudi Arabia and Iran's respective forms of authoritarian theocracy continue to serve as brakes on any prospects for meaningful reform in the wider Middle East." Both the Saudi Kingdom and the Islamic Republic, Davidson argues, "have an equally vested interest in maintaining the counter-revolutionary status quo in the territories they now contest." [98]

The counter-revolution confronting the Middle East today in this period of dramatic upheaval is not headquartered in a single capital. Riyadh and Tehran form what we might think of as a regional counter-revolutionary hydra. (Abu Dhabi also belongs in the regional counter-revolutionary discussion a development worthy of more research than it has yet generated.) With signs now pointing to some sort of rapprochement between the Saudi Kingdom and the Islamic Republic, [99] Davidson's argument about the counter-revolutionary roles of both states might become less counter-intuitive: the rivalry between the regional hegemons has obscured what the two states share in common.
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